College- and Career-Readiness for All Students: Accountability to Support Our Vision



Louisiana's Application for Flexibility Waiver:
Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA)

Vision for Louisiana's Children

All students on track to attain a college degree or succeed in a professional career.

How do we get there?

How Do We Get There?

Rigorous Standards and Clear Accountability

- Common Core academic standards system-wide
- PK-12 assessment to Common Core standards system-wide
- Clear measures, labels, consequences, and incentives for performance at all levels

Empowered Teachers

- COMPASS teacher standards
- Merit-based certification, compensation, tenure, and retention

Empowered School Leaders

- COMPASS school leader standards
- Freedom from retrograde labor rules and burdensome funding restrictions

Empowered Parents

- Clear school performance information PK-12
- Intervention in the lowest performing schools through RSD
- Options for parent choice: early childhood, traditional public, charter, private, virtual, industry, university

How will the ESEA Waiver Support Our Vision?

Empowers students:

 Knowledge and skills to succeed in college and workplace (Common Core State Standards)

Empowers district and school leaders:

- Significant flexibility in use of federal funds (i.e., \$375,000,000)
- Relief from burdensome, unnecessary regulations and reporting

Empowers teachers:

COMPASS teacher standards

Empowers communities and parents:

- Clear, transparent, and easy to understand accountability reporting
- Intervention in persistently failing schools

Powers progress:

 Solidifies accountability: refines and relies on Louisiana's nationally-recognized accountability system – without burdensome, overlapping federal regulations



Honoring Educators' Work by Reducing Burdens

- Removing Federal Red Tape
 - Eliminating school and district improvement plans
 - Eliminating improvement plans for failing to meet HQT targets
 - No longer required to spend 20% of Title I on SES
 - No longer required to spend 10% of Title I on professional development
 - Allow Title I schools below the 40% poverty threshold to operate schoolwide programs
 - 21st CCLC money may be used to support expanded learning time
 - May use REAP for any authorized purpose regardless of AYP status

Honoring Educators' Work by Reducing Burdens

- Removing LDOE Red Tape
 - Eliminating school and district improvement plans
 - Streamlining federal applications, monitoring, and reporting requirements
 - Reduce size of applications
 - Remove all duplicative requests for information
 - Align dates for submission
 - Monitor only when necessary
 - Developing budget templates that guide school districts through process of braiding funds to support single, highlyeffective initiatives



Accountability Principles

Empower educators and parents through a system that includes the following:

- Rigorous standards for proficiency;
- 2. Measures of school and district performance that are aligned with the Common Core Standards, easy to understand, and easy to communicate; and
- 3. Simple but strong incentives and interventions to motivate performance.

Focusing on Student Proficiency (Grade-level)

- Louisiana's letter grades essential to communicating school quality to public; will be important driver for improvement.
 - Application proposes to continue letter grade system, which is based upon School Performance Scores (SPS)
- Proposed changes to SPS calculations include:
 - Simplifying calculations by reducing number of inputs
 - A focus on most essential metric grade-level proficiency of students

Overview of Accountability Changes

- Proposed changes to Louisiana's accountability system:
 - Components of SPS formula: Components of the SPS formula will be reduced to focus solely on performance and cohort graduation rate.
 - Point allocation: Points will no longer be allocated for students below proficient.
 - Scale revision: SPS will now be calculated based on a range from 0-150, with 100 = A, to ease public understanding.
 Continue 100-150 to recognize and incent "above grade level" performance, rather than capping recognized performance at "grade level."

Overview of Accountability Changes (Continued)

- Proposed changes to Louisiana's accountability system (continued):
 - School Performance Report: School Performance Report will include a number of metrics for schools to inform district-led strategies.

– Subgroups:

- Performance of individual subgroups will continue to be reported.
- A new "super subgroup" will focus educators' attention on the nearly one-third of students below grade level.

Proposed Changes to SPS Calculations (Elementary)

| CURRENT SYSTEM | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|---------------------|------------------------------|-------|
| School Grade Level | Achievement (as measured by iLEAP and LEAP) | Attendance Index | Graduation/ Dropout Index | Bonus |
| K-5 | 90% | 10% | | |
| K-8, 7-8 | 90% | 5% | 5% (Dropout Index) | |

| PROPOSED SYSTEM | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| School Grade Level | Achievement (as measured by <i>i</i> LEAP and LEAP) | Attendance Index | Graduation/ Dropout Index | Bonus |
| K-8 | 100% | | | Yes (Specifics TBD) |

ELA and mathematics scores would be double weighted

Proposed Changes to SPS Calculations (High School)

| CURRENT SYSTEM | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|---------------------|------------------------------|-------|
| School Grade Level | Achievement (As measured by EOC performance) | Graduation Index | Cohort Graduation Rate | Bonus |
| 9-12 | 70% | 30% | | |

| PROPOSED SYSTEM | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| School Grade Level | Achievement (As measured by ACT performance) | Graduation Index | Cohort Graduation Rate | Bonus |
| 9-12 | 50% | | 50% | Yes (Specifics TBD) |

Awarding Points

To support our vision of college- and career-readiness for all students, Louisiana will no longer award points for performance below proficiency.

• K-8:

| Proficiency (<i>i</i> LEAP/LEAP) | SPS Points |
|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Advanced | 150 |
| Mastery | 125 |
| Basic | 100 |
| Approaching Basic, Unsatisfactory | 0 |

- 9-12:
 - Sliding scale for ACT scores of 18-36

Reporting Accountability

 The School Performance Report will empower parent choices and inform school strategies by providing a clear and comprehensive picture of school performance.

- Specifically, the reports will include:
 - key metrics, such as letter grades, SPS and percent proficient;
 and;
 - additional metrics, such as postsecondary indicators like AP participation and performance

Addressing Subgroup Performance

Redefining Subgroups: Super Subgroup

- Currently, nearly one-third of Louisiana students are below grade level.
- We must act with urgency for these students and we must empower educators to drive improvement through clear data and truly meaningful rewards.
- Therefore, Louisiana is creating a new "super subgroup" to focus on students of various races, exceptionalities, and socioeconomic backgrounds who are performing below our expectations and their capabilities
 - Traditional subgroup reporting will also continue

Redefining Subgroups: Super Subgroup

- Who is included?
 - All non-proficient ELA and math students
- How are they measured?
 - Use Louisiana's nationally-acclaimed value-added model to determine whether the school exceeded, met, or failed to achieve expected performance.
- What if a school exceeds expectations?
 - Monetary Rewards
 - SPS Points

Schools achieving these goals will be Reward Schools.

Rewards and Interventions

| | Meet Super Subgroup Goal <u>OR</u> Achieve Substantial SPS Growth | All Other Schools |
|-------------------|---|---|
| Α | | Rewards (unless declining) |
| В | | N/A |
| С | Rewards (financial, SPS bonus) | Intensive CCSS/COMPASS training |
| D | | Intensive CCSS/COMPASS training |
| Pre-RSD F (focus) | | Intensive CCSS/COMPASS training Needs Assessment Public School Choice |
| RSD F (priority) | | State-operated school |

Classifying Schools Based Upon Performance

- The ESEA Flexibility application requires attention to the following categories of schools:
 - Reward schools: top tier of schools that exhibit high performance and/or high progress
 - Priority schools: lowest-performing set of schools, including
 5% of Title I schools in Louisiana
 - Focus schools: low-performing set of schools, including 10% of Title I schools in Louisiana; schools that have a subgroup or subgroups with low achievement, or, at high school level, have a graduation rate lower than 60%

Reward Schools

- Schools shall be eligible for reward status if:
 - school exceeds its super-subgroup expected growth target;
 - school makes significant SPS growth; and
 - "A" schools (unless declining)
- Rewards include:
 - Financial rewards
 - SPS points
 - Public Recognition
- Supports include:
 - Burden reduction efforts;
 - Usable data; and
 - Available trainings and professional development focused on Common Core and COMPASS

Focus Schools

 Focus schools are those schools earning an F letter grade (pre-RSD) or any high school whose cohort graduation rate is lower than 60%

- Interventions include:
 - Identification and provision of data
 - Needs Assessment
 - Coordinated, intensive LDOE supports specifically for focus schools centered around CCSS/COMPASS
 - Existing, ongoing statewide supports

Priority Schools

Priority schools are RSD Schools

- RSD mechanisms for school improvement include:
 - Autonomous schools and flexible governance options
 - Strong accountability measures and performance management
 - Expansive school choice
 - Human capital support through recruiting, professional development, and school recovery teams

Implementation Timeline

| Task | Proposed Deadline |
|---|-------------------------|
| Public comment on proposed draft | February 16, 2012 |
| Board approval of revised accountability concepts and policies | Spring/Summer 2012 |
| Board final approval of revised accountability policies | August 2012 |
| Full implementation of formula, interventions, and rewards for all relevant schools (i.e., priority, focus, reward) | 2012-2013 Academic Year |

• LDOE will solicit input from Accountability Commission and other stakeholders as needed as policies are developed.

